

LETTRE XVII.

EULER à GOLDBACH.

SOMMAIRE. Recherches ultérieures sur la séparation et l'intégration de l'équation Riccati.

Domi d. 3 Januar. 1732.

Omnis aequatio ex tribus constans terminis facile reducitur ad hanc formam $x^m dx + ay^n dx + bdy = 0$, quae ista substitutione

$$x = v^{\frac{1}{m+n-m}} z^{\frac{n-1}{m+n-m}} \text{ et } y = v^{\frac{m+1}{m+n-m}} z^{\frac{-1}{m+n-m}}$$

transformatur in sequentem ordinis secundi aequationem $z^2 dz + (n-1)vzdz + avzdv + a(n-1)v^2 dz + b(m+1)zdv - bvdz = 0$. Si fuerit $n=2$, habetur forma Riccatii

$$x^m dx + ay^2 dx + bdy = 0,$$

cui ista aequatio ordinis secundi respondet

$z^2 dv + vzdz + avzdv + av^2 dz + b(m+1)zdv - bvdz = 0$,
pro qua mihi difficilior videtur casuum separabilium investigatio, quam pro ipsa $x^m dx + ay^2 dx + bdy = 0$. Sit $n=1$, erit aequatio in quam haec $x^m dx + aydx + bdy = 0$ transformatur, ista $z^2 dv + avzdv + b(m+1)zdv - bvdz = 0$, in qua littera z unicam dimensionem habere censenda est.

Quod aequationis $ady = y^2 dx - x^{2n+1} dx$ ad hanc $adq = q^2 dp - dp$ reductio universalis, n denotante numerum quemcunque, pendeat ab inventione termini generalis hujus seriei $A, B, (2m+1)B+A$, sic ostendo: Reductio illa perficitur hac substitutione $x = (\frac{p}{2n+1})^{2n+1}$ et

$$y(\frac{p}{2n+1})^{2n} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)a} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{(2n-3)a} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{(2n-5)a} + \frac{1}{p} \text{ etc, usque ad}$$

$$\frac{1}{1a} + q.$$

Formula ista continuarum fractionum dat, si $n=1$, hunc valorem $\frac{1}{a+q}$ vel $\frac{1}{r+q}$, posito $r = \frac{a}{p}$. Si $n=2$, prodit

$$\frac{1}{3r + \frac{1}{r+q}} = \frac{r+q}{3r^2 + 3rq + 1}. \text{ Si } n=3, \text{ fit}$$

$$\frac{1}{5r + \frac{1}{3r + \frac{1}{r+q}}} = \frac{1}{5r + \frac{r+q}{3r^2 + 3rq + 1}} = \frac{3r^2 + 3rq + 1}{15r^3 + 15r^2q + 6r + q}.$$

Ponatur, brevitatis gratia, $r+q=s$, seu $q=s-r$ et valores inventi formulae datae respondentes litterae n collectentur in seriem, prodibit

$$n = 1, \frac{2}{s}, \frac{3}{3rs+1}, \frac{4}{15r^2s+5r+s}, \text{ etc.}$$

in qua serie apparet cujusvis fractionis numeratorem esse praecedentis denominatorem. Atque si terminus ordine m sit $\frac{A}{B}$, fore sequentem indicis $m+1 = \frac{B}{(2m+1)B+A}$. Ex his ergo manifestum est, quod in praecedentibus litteris commemoravi, ex termino generali hujus seriei

$$A, B, (2m+1)B+A$$

cognito haberi formulae Riccatianae separationem et integrationem universalem. In illa autem serie, ut sit determinata, oportet esse terminum primum $= 1$ et secundum $= s$. Cognitis igitur ex termino generali A et B factoque $n = m$, erit

$$x = \left(\frac{p}{2m+1}\right)^{2m+1} \text{ et } y \left(\frac{p}{2m+1}\right)^{2m} = \frac{A}{B},$$

qua substitutione aequatio $ady = y^2 dx - x^{2m+1} dx$ reducitur ad hanc $adq = q^2 dp - dp$, ideoque integrabitur ope logarithmorum universaliter. Aequatio vero

$ady = y^2 dx - x^{2m+1} dx$ modo initio tradito reducitur ad hanc

$$z^2 d\nu + \nu zdz - \nu zd\nu - \nu^2 dz + a\left(\frac{-2m+1}{2m+1}\right) z d\nu - a\nu dz = 0.$$

Haec ergo reducetur ad istam $adq = q^2 dp - dp$, substitutione $\nu = \frac{Ap}{(2m+1)B}$ et $z = \frac{Bp}{(2m+1)A}$. Vale et fave, V. C., Tui observantissimo

Eulero.



LETTRE XVIII.

GOLDBACH à EULER.

SOMMAIRE. Remarque sur les sommes des séries et les intégrales. Solution d'une équation du 5^{ème} degré.

Moscoue 15 Januar. 1752.

In superioribus litteris Tuis non animadverteram Te in formula $A, B, (2m+1)B+A$ sumere m pro exponente terminorum qui comperit termino A , quod ex postremis Tuis nuper ad me datis nunc satis intelligo videoque simil modo $\int(1-y^{\frac{1}{n}})^p dy$ pendere a formula generali summarum seriei, cuius lex progressionis est $((p+n+x)\div(n\pm x))A=B$, ubi per x intelligo exponentem qui termino A respondet, per \div vero signum divisionis ambiguae, ita ut sumto ex signis \pm superiore, $n+x$ sit denominator, sumto inferiore, $n-x$ fiat numerator; vel eandem integralem pendere a